

## THE GHAZNAVID STATE: FORMATION, DEVELOPMENT AND DECLINE

Javlonbek Jakhongir-ugli Niyozov

j.niyozov@kiut.uz

Namangan Branch of Kimyo International University in Tashkent

**Abstract:** The article examines the formation of the Ghaznavid dynasty, its political development, and its role in the history of the region. The study analyzes the military power, economic life, and cultural traditions of the state during the reigns of Sabuktegin and Sultan Mahmud of Ghazni. The article also provides information about the Ghaznavids' campaigns in India and the political consequences of these expeditions. Furthermore, it covers the factors that led to the decline of the Ghaznavid state and the processes associated with its dissolution by the Ghurids. The findings demonstrate that the Ghaznavid state held an important place in the history of the medieval Islamic East.

**Keywords:** Ghaznavid dynasty, Sultan, Mahmud of Ghazni, Sabuktegin, Alp Tegin, Ghazna state, Ghurids, city of Ghazna, Persian culture, Islamic civilization, political stability

### Introduction

At the end of the tenth century and the beginning of the eleventh century, the political landscape of Central Asia and Iran underwent significant transformations as existing state structures gradually weakened. During this period, the Samanid Empire experienced a decline in political authority, creating favorable conditions for the emergence of new regional powers and autonomous rulers (Bosworth, 1963; Frye, 1984). One of the most important regions affected by these developments was Ghazna, located in present-day Afghanistan. Initially, the region was governed by Alp Tigin, a prominent military commander appointed by the Samanids. Renowned for his military capabilities and loyalty, Alp Tigin became one of the most influential figures within the Samanid military establishment. In 962, he assumed de facto control over Ghazna and began consolidating his authority in the region (Bosworth, 1963). By establishing an independent political base in Ghazna, Alp Tigin laid the foundations for the emergence of a new state that would later become known as the Ghaznavid Empire. His efforts marked the beginning of a political transformation that significantly influenced the subsequent history of Central Asia and the eastern Islamic world (Encyclopaedia Iranica, 2024).

Following the death of Alp Tigin, his successor Sebuktegin was appointed Amir (Governor) of Ghazna in 977. Although he initially remained loyal to the Samanid Empire, Sebuktegin gradually established himself as a de facto independent ruler. Of Turkic origin and formerly a ghulam (military slave), he rose through the ranks owing to his exceptional military and administrative abilities. His leadership enabled him to consolidate power in Ghazna and lay the foundations for an independent political entity (Bosworth, 1963).

Under Sebuktegin's rule, the foundations of the Ghaznavid state were significantly strengthened. Through military campaigns and administrative reforms, he expanded his authority beyond Ghazna and established a centralized system of governance. The emergence of the Ghaznavid state was closely connected to the political decline of the Samanid Empire and the increasing influence of Turkic military elites in the region (Bosworth, 1963; Frye, 1984).

Sebuktegin's accession to power and the establishment of the Ghaznavid Dynasty in 977 marked the beginning of a new era in the history of Central Asia. Through his political acumen and military leadership, Ghazna achieved independence and evolved into the center of a rapidly expanding state. The Ghaznavid realm subsequently extended its influence across Central Asia and

into Northern India, becoming an important political and cultural force within the eastern Islamic world (Encyclopaedia Iranica, 2024; Bosworth, 1977). The year 977 is therefore widely recognized as the founding date of the Ghaznavid Dynasty as an independent state. Following Sebuktegin’s death, his son Sultan Mahmud of Ghazni inherited a strong political foundation, upon which he built one of the most powerful empires of the medieval Islamic world (Bosworth, 1963; Kennedy, 2016).

### Results

The establishment of the Ghaznavid state under Sebuktegin was closely linked to the political decline of the Samanid Empire and the growing influence of Turkic military leaders in Central Asia. These developments significantly reshaped the political structure of the region and facilitated the emergence of a new political power (Bosworth, 1963; Frye, 1984).

Following his rise to authority in 977, Sebuktegin consolidated his rule over Ghazna and established the foundations of a centralized state. Through military campaigns and administrative reforms, he secured de facto independence from the Samanids and extended his control over neighboring territories. Under his leadership, the Ghaznavids gained authority over large parts of present-day Afghanistan, including Ghazna, Kandahar, and Balkh, as well as portions of northeastern Iran. His military successes and political leadership enhanced his prestige among both Turkic and Persian groups, strengthening the position of the newly established dynasty (Bosworth, 1963).

The year 977 is generally regarded as the founding date of the Ghaznavid Dynasty as an independent state. During the subsequent decades, the Ghaznavids expanded their political influence and developed an effective system of centralized governance that contributed to the stability and growth of the state (Encyclopaedia Iranica, 2024). A significant phase of expansion began with the accession of Sultan Mahmud of Ghazni (998–1030). Under his leadership, the Ghaznavid Empire experienced rapid territorial growth and increased political authority. The empire expanded from eastern Iranian territories in the west to Punjab and Northern India in the east. Mahmud's seventeen military campaigns into India not only enlarged the empire's sphere of influence but also generated substantial economic resources that strengthened the political and military foundations of the Ghaznavid state (Bosworth, 1963; Kennedy, 2016). A significant feature of the Ghaznavid period was the remarkable cultural and intellectual development that accompanied political and military expansion. Under the patronage of Sultan Mahmud of Ghazni, the city of Ghazna emerged as one of the leading centers of culture, learning, and scholarship in the medieval Islamic world. His court attracted numerous distinguished scholars, poets, and intellectuals, including Al-Biruni and Ferdowsi, whose works made lasting contributions to Islamic and Persian civilization (Bosworth, 1977; Encyclopaedia Iranica, 2024). During the reign of Sebuktegin and his successors, the Ghaznavid state expanded to encompass the southern regions of Central Asia, eastern Iran, much of Afghanistan, and parts of Northern India. As a result, the dynasty became one of the most influential political powers of the medieval Islamic East. Following Mahmud’s accession to the throne in 998, the Ghaznavid Empire entered its most prosperous period. Through effective administration, military leadership, and strategic governance, Mahmud consolidated state authority and accelerated territorial expansion (Bosworth, 1963).

The empire reached its greatest extent during Mahmud’s reign, stretching from eastern Iran to Northern India and the Punjab region. His military expeditions into India generated substantial wealth, strengthened the state’s economic foundations, and expanded Ghaznavid political influence over several local kingdoms. These achievements significantly enhanced the prestige and power of the dynasty (Kennedy, 2016).

In addition to territorial expansion, Mahmud actively supported the advancement of science, literature, and Islamic culture. Consequently, Ghazna developed into a major intellectual and cultural

center, while the Ghaznavid state gained recognition not only for its military strength but also for its contributions to scholarship and civilization. Mahmud’s policies and achievements also contributed to the long-term consolidation of Islamic influence in Northern India (Bosworth, 1977; Encyclopaedia Iranica, 2024).

During the reign of Sultan Mahmud of Ghazni, the Ghaznavid Dynasty experienced remarkable economic growth alongside its military and political expansion. A major factor contributing to this prosperity was the series of military campaigns conducted in India between the early eleventh century and 1025. Historical sources indicate that Mahmud organized approximately seventeen expeditions into the Indian subcontinent (Kennedy, 2016).

These campaigns were primarily directed against local Hindu kingdoms in northern and central India. Their objectives included the acquisition of wealth, the expansion of political influence, and the strengthening of the Ghaznavid state's economic foundations. At that time, many regions of India were prosperous commercial and economic centers inhabited by merchants, artisans, and wealthy elites (Kennedy, 2016).

During these expeditions, Mahmud captured several strategically important cities, including Multan, Sindh, Lahore, and regions of Punjab. The campaigns resulted in the acquisition of considerable wealth, including gold, silver, precious stones, and other valuable resources. These economic gains significantly strengthened the financial capacity of the Ghaznavid state and contributed to its continued political and military development (Bosworth, 1963; Kennedy, 2016).

The Indian campaigns also enhanced Ghaznavid influence in northern India. Through the establishment of loyal administrative structures and the weakening of local rulers, Mahmud expanded the political reach of his empire and consolidated its authority across newly acquired territories (Kennedy, 2016).

#### Discussion

The decline of the Ghaznavid Dynasty began in the mid-eleventh century and was closely associated with both internal weaknesses and external pressures. A major turning point occurred in 1040, when the Ghaznavids suffered a decisive defeat at the Battle of Dandanaqan against the Seljuk Turks. This defeat resulted in the loss of much of the dynasty’s northern and western territories and significantly weakened its political and military power (Bosworth, 1977; Frye, 1984).

Following the battle, the authority of the Ghaznavids became increasingly confined to Ghazna and its surrounding regions. Although Mahmud’s successors attempted to preserve the strength of the empire, internal conflicts, court rivalries, and administrative challenges undermined political stability. At the same time, the expanding power of the Seljuks further limited the dynasty’s ability to maintain control over its territories (Bosworth, 1977).

During the twelfth century, the Ghurid Dynasty emerged as a new regional power and gradually occupied many former Ghaznavid possessions. This process of territorial contraction reduced the Ghaznavid state to a smaller political entity centered primarily in Afghanistan and northern India. The loss of strategic territories weakened the state's economic resources, military capacity, and cultural influence (Frye, 1984). By the end of the twelfth century, the Ghaznavids struggled to retain their principal centers, including Ghazna and Lahore. However, in 1186, these cities were captured by the Ghurids, marking the effective end of Ghaznavid rule. The Ghurids subsequently consolidated control over the remaining Ghaznavid territories and established themselves as the dominant political force in the region (Bosworth, 1977).

The fall of the Ghaznavid Dynasty and the rise of the Ghurids represented an important transition in the history of Central Asia and Northern India. Furthermore, Ghurid expansion contributed to the later emergence of major Muslim states in the Indian subcontinent, particularly the

Delhi Sultanate (Frye, 1984; Encyclopaedia Iranica, 2024). Therefore, the year 1186 is generally regarded as the symbolic end of the Ghaznavid Dynasty and the beginning of a new political era in the region.

The decline of the Ghaznavid State demonstrates the challenges faced by medieval empires in maintaining political cohesion across extensive territories. While military expansion enabled the rapid growth of the dynasty, long-term stability depended on effective administration, economic sustainability, and the ability to respond to emerging regional powers. In this regard, the Ghaznavid experience illustrates how internal political fragmentation and external competition can accelerate imperial decline despite earlier periods of military and cultural success (Murodjon o‘g‘li & Kozimjon o‘g‘li, 2025; Egamberdiyev, 2026).

#### Conclusion

The Ghaznavid State was one of the most influential political powers of the medieval Islamic world, playing a significant role in the political, economic, and cultural development of Central Asia and Northern India. Established by Sebuktegin in 977, the state reached the height of its power during the reign of Sultan Mahmud of Ghazni, whose military campaigns, administrative reforms, and patronage of scholarship contributed to the empire’s prosperity and expansion (Bosworth, 1963; Kennedy, 2016).

The findings of this study demonstrate that the Ghaznavids not only strengthened political authority across a vast territory but also promoted the advancement of Islamic culture, science, and education. Ghazna emerged as a major intellectual and cultural center, attracting distinguished scholars such as Al-Biruni and Ferdowsi (Bosworth, 1977; Encyclopaedia Iranica, 2024).

Despite these achievements, internal political instability and increasing pressure from the Seljuk and Ghurid dynasties led to the gradual decline of the state. The fall of the Ghaznavids in 1186 marked the end of an important political era and the beginning of new developments in the history of Central Asia and Northern India (Frye, 1984). Overall, the Ghaznavid State left a lasting legacy in state-building, cultural exchange, and the spread of Islamic civilization, making it a significant subject in the study of medieval Eastern history and intercultural relations (Egamberdiyev, 2026; Murodjon o‘g‘li & Kozimjon o‘g‘li, 2025).

#### References

1. Bosworth, C. E. (1963). *The Ghaznavids: Their Empire in Afghanistan and Eastern Iran 994–1040*. Edinburgh University Press.
2. Bosworth, C. E. (1977). *The Later Ghaznavids: Splendour and Decay*. Edinburgh University Press.
3. Kennedy, H. (2016). *The Prophet and the Age of the Caliphates: The Islamic Near East from the Sixth to the Eleventh Century* (3rd ed.). Routledge.
4. Frye, R. N. (1984). *The History of Ancient Iran*. C.H. Beck.
5. Encyclopaedia Iranica. (2024). Ghaznavids. Encyclopaedia Iranica Foundation.
6. Мавлонов, А. А. (2024). ТИЛ МАСАЛАСИ–МАЪНАВИЙ МУСТАҚИЛЛИКНИНГ АСОСИЙ ШАРТИ СИФАТИДА. Вестник магистратуры, (6-1 (153)), 42-46.
7. Мавлонов, А. А., & Раҳимжонов, И. (2023). ЎРТА ОСИЁГА ФОТОГРАФИЯНИНГ КИРИБ КЕЛИШИ ТАРИХИ. Вестник магистратуры, (5-3 (140)), 44-45.
8. Мавлонов, А. А., & Абдулҳафизов, М. (2023). ФИҚҲ ЁКИ ИСЛОМ ҚОНУНШУНОСЛИГИНИНГ ВУЖУДГА КЕЛИШ ТАРИХИ. Вестник магистратуры, 46.

9. Kudratkhodja, S., Alptekin, M. Y., Altinboyev, N., Pulatova, D., Mavlonov, A., & Aripov, M. (2026). DISAPPEARING WEDDING RITES OF PASSAGE IN UZBEKISTAN: MODERNIZATION AND FUNCTIONALIST PERSPECTIVES.
10. Anvarxanovich, M. A., & Safoxon, M. (2023). QADIMGI DUNYO TARIXIDA O'RTA OSIYO XALQLARINING MILLIY ISTIQLOL OZODLIK HARAKATLARI. Вестник магистратуры, (5-3 (140)), 42-43.
11. Mavlanov, A., & Mirzohidov, S. (2024). THE CULT OF WATER IN THE ANCIENT BELIEFS OF THE UZBEKS OF THE FERGANA VALLEY. Science and innovation, 3(C1), 5-7.
12. Mavlonov, A., & Mirzohidov, S. (2024). USE OF INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGIES IN HISTORY TEACHING METHODOLOGY. Science and innovation, 3(B8), 26-28.
13. Mavlonov, A., & Mirzohidov, S. Uzbek Cultural Heritage: Preserving Traditional Songs Importance AND Methods. Galaxy International Interdisciplinary Research Journal, 12(8), 20-26.
14. Mavlonov, A. (2021, June). WATER CULT IN ANCIENT RELIGIOUS BELIEFS (Based on materials from the Fergana Valley). In Конференции.
15. Niyozov, J. (2026, January). DEVELOPING HISTORICAL THINKING OF PRE-SERVICE HISTORY TEACHERS THROUGH MUSEUM PEDAGOGY. In International Conference on Science, Education & Law (Vol. 2, No. 1, pp. 4-7).
16. Niyozov, J. (2026, February). DEVELOPING THE AXIOLOGICAL COMPONENT OF HISTORICAL THINKING IN PRE-SERVICE HISTORY TEACHERS BASED ON MUSEUM PEDAGOGY. In International Conference on Culture & History (Vol. 2, No. 2, pp. 8-12).
17. Niyozov, J. (2026, February). MUSEUM PEDAGOGY AS A TOOL FOR DEVELOPING SOURCE-CRITICAL AND INTERPRETATIVE SKILLS IN PRE-SERVICE HISTORY TEACHERS. In International Conference on Social Sciences & Humanities (Vol. 2, No. 2, pp. 11-15).
18. Niyozov, J. (2026, January). DEVELOPING HISTORICAL THINKING THROUGH THE INTEGRATION OF MUSEUM PEDAGOGY AND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGIES. In International Conference on Arts, Society & Humanities (Vol. 2, No. 1, pp. 24-27).
19. Niyozov, J. Educational Potential OF Museum Pedagogy AND Criteria for Its Application in Developing Historical Thinking OF Pre-Service History Teachers. Maktabgacha va Maktab Ta'limi Jurnal, 676773.
20. Niyozov, J. Bo 'lajak Tarix O 'qituvchilarini Muzey Pedagogikasi Vositasi Orqali Tarixiy Tafakkurini Rivojlantirishning Modeli. Maktabgacha va Maktab Ta'limi Jurnal, 675308.
21. Арифханова, З. Х., Абашин, С. Н., & Алимова, Д. А. (2011). Узбеки.
22. Саримсоцов, А. А. (2011). Узбекларнинг таквимиий маросимлари (Фаргона водийси материаллари асосида). Тошкент: Yangi Nashr.
23. Саримсоков, А. А. (2010). Календарные обряды узбеков (на основе материалов Ферганской долины).
24. Abdiraximovich, S. A., & Tursunpulotovich, M. M. (2025, September). O'ZBEKISTON VA KOREYA RESPUBLIKASI MUNOSABATLARI YANGI BOSQICHDA (NAMANGAN VILOYATI MISOLIDA). In Partner conferences of the International Scientific Journal Research Focus (Vol. 1, No. 2, pp. 369).
25. Abdiraximovich, S. A. (2025, August). NAMANGAN VILOYATI QORAQALPOQLARIDA HUNARMANDCHILIK AN'ANALARI. In Partner conferences of the International Scientific Journal Research Focus (Vol. 1, No. 1, pp. 135-139).

26. Abdiraximovich, S. A. (2025, August). O 'ZBEKLARNING TAQVIMIY MAROSIMLARI: BAHORIY SAYILLAR. In Partner conferences of the International Scientific Journal Research Focus (Vol. 1, No. 1, pp. 152-156).
27. Саримсоков, А. А. (2024). The ritual of "qo'sh chiqarish" among Uzbeks and its territorial features (on the example of the Fergana Valley). Историческая этнология, 9(2), 214-223.
28. Sarimsokov A. A. Navruz: Customs and Ceremonies //Central Asian Journal of Social Sciences and History. – 2024. – Т. 5. – №. 2. – С. 14-20.
29. Sarimsokov A. A. IMAGINATIONS OF UZBEK PEOPLE RELATED TO" GOOD" AND" BAD" TIME //Asian Journal of Multidimensional Research. – 2022. – Т. 11. – №. 8. – С. 66-69.
30. Саримсоков А. А. Традиционные календарные взгляды узбеков //Ученый XXI века. – 2020. – №. 1 (60). – С. 24-29.
31. Egamberdiyev, B. (2026). O 'ZBEKISTONDA MAHALLIY ODATLAR VA MAROSIMLARNING SHAKLLANISHI VA ULARNING FALSAFIY-TARIXIY MOHIYATI. Ижтимоий-гуманитар фанларнинг долзарб муаммолари Актуальные проблемы социально-гуманитарных наук Actual Problems of Humanities and Social Sciences., 6(1), 75-82.
32. Ваходир о'г'ли, Е. В. (2025, December). O'ZBEK XALQINING AN'ANAVIY MAROSIM VA URF-ODATLARINING TARIXIY ASOSLARI VA RIVOJLANISH FALSAFIY TAHLILI (QADIMGI DAVR, ISLOM DAVRI MISOLIDA). In Partner conferences of the International Scientific Journal Research Focus (Vol. 1, No. 1, pp. 20-24).
33. Rahimberdiyev, S. (2026). KOREYA RESPUBLIKASIDA O 'ZBEK MIGRANTLARINING MADANIY IDENTIFIKATSIYASI: TRANSMILLIY JARAYON VA KUNDALIK HAYOT DINAMIKASI. Universal xalqaro ilmiy jurnal, 3(3.1), 434-440.
34. Bekmurod o'g'li, R. S. (2025, December). KOREYADAGI O 'ZBEKISTONLIK MEHNAT MIGRANTLARINING IJTIMOIIY HOLATI VA MADANIY IDENTIFIKATSIYA JARAYONLARI. In Partner conferences of the International Scientific Journal Research Focus (Vol. 1, No. 1, pp. 108-111).
35. Yusupov, D. S. O. (2021). The stages of creation smart clothing and electronic garments. Science and Education, 2(4), 163-165.
36. Gulbaxor, M., & Bahodir, S. (2025). TIL O 'RGANISHDA CHATGPT, TARJIMA TIZIMLARI VA ULARNING SAMARADORLIGI. TADQIQOTLAR, 76(3), 17-21.
37. Muzaffar, G., & Bahodir, S. (2025). INSON ORGANIZMIDA UCHRAYDIGAN ICHKI KASALIKLAR. TADQIQOTLAR, 76(2), 71-74.
38. Bakhodir, S., & Dilshoda, R. (2025). EASTERN THINKERS OF THE ERA OF THE FIRST RENAISSANCE. Global Science Review, 8(1), 682-687.
39. Zebo, N., & Bahodir, S. (2025). O 'RTA ASRLARDA ANGLIYA (V-XV ASRLAR). Global Science Review, 11(1), 85-90.
40. Шамсиддинов, Б., & Гуламов, М. (2023). ЛОГИЧЕСКИЕ СООТНОШЕНИЯ ФИЛОСОФИИ С ЛИТЕРАТУРОЙ. Oriental Art and Culture, 4(3), 332-335.
41. Kozimjon o'g, N. M. K. (2026). BUXORO AMIRI AMIR NASRULLONING SIYOSIY FAOLIYATI MAHALLIY VA HORIJIY MANBALAR ASOSIDA. TADQIQOTLAR, 79(2), 2850291-2850291.
42. Kozimjon o'g, N. M. K. (2025). RUSLAR TOMONIDAN XIVA XONLIGINING BOSIB OLINISHI TARIXIY MANBALAR TAHLILI ASOSIDA II-QISIM. INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF INTEGRATED SCIENCES, 1(1).

43. Kozimjon o'g, N. M. K. (2025). TOSHKENTNING ROSSIYA IMPERIYASI TOMONIDAN BOSIB OLINISHI. FARS International Journal of Education, Social Science & Humanities., 13(7), 47-53.
44. Kozimjon o'g, N. M. K., & Baxtiyor o'g'li, A. M. (2025). O 'RTA OSIYODA MA'RIFAT VA MILLIY UYG 'ONISH G 'OYALARI: MAHMUDXO 'JA BEHBUDIY FAOLIYATI MISOLIDA. Modern education and development, 36(2), 104-110.
45. Kozimjon o'g, N. M. K. (2025). THE ESTABLISHMENT OF THE KHOREZM PEOPLE'S SOVIET REPUBLIC. Universal Science Perspectives International Scientific Practical Journal, 1(1).
46. Kozimjon o'g, N. M. K. (2025). THE ESTABLISHMENT OF THE BUKHARA PEOPLE'S SOVIET REPUBLIC. INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF INTEGRATED SCIENCES, 1(1).
47. Murodjon o'g'li, I. S., & Kozimjon o'g, N. M. K. (2025). XIX-ASR BIRINCHI YARIMIDAN XX-ASR IKKINCHI YARIMIGACHA O'RTA OSIYODA YUZBERGAN INGLIZ-RUS RAQOBATIDA XONLIKLARNING AHAMIYATI. IMRAS, 8(5), 138-147.
48. BARTON, K. C., & LEVSTIK, L. S. (2004). TEACHING HISTORY FOR THE COMMON GOOD. LAWRENCE ERLBAUM ASSOCIATES.
49. FALK, J. H., & DIERKING, L. D. (2016). THE MUSEUM EXPERIENCE REVISITED. ROUTLEDGE.
50. HEIN, G. E. (1998). LEARNING IN THE MUSEUM. ROUTLEDGE.
51. HOOPER-GREENHILL, E. (2007). MUSEUMS AND EDUCATION: PURPOSE, PEDAGOGY, PERFORMANCE. ROUTLEDGE.
52. ISMOILOVA, J. X., NISHANOVA, K. S., & MUKHAMEDOVA, M. S. (2015). MUSEUM AND SOCIETY. CHINOR ENK.
53. KOROLEV, S. V. (2017). HISTORICAL THINKING AS A COMPONENT OF CIVIC EDUCATION. PEDAGOGICAL SCIENCES, 4, 45–52.
54. LEVSTIK, L. S., & BARTON, K. C. (2015). DOING HISTORY (5TH ED.). ROUTLEDGE.
55. MAKRANSKY, G., & PETERSEN, G. B. (2019). IMMERSIVE VIRTUAL REALITY AND LEARNING. EDUCATIONAL PSYCHOLOGY REVIEW, 31(3), 593–626.
56. QODIROV, A. A. (2018). TARIX FANINI O'QITISHDA VIZUAL MANBALAR. PEDAGOGIK TA'LIM, 3, 52–56.
57. QODIROVA, D. S. (2020). MUZEY PEDAGOGIKASINING IMKONIYATLARI. O'ZBEKISTON PEDAGOGIKA JURNALI, 2, 34–39.
58. RÜSEN, J. (2004). HISTORICAL CONSCIOUSNESS. BERGHAHN BOOKS.
59. RÜSEN, J. (2012). HISTORY, NARRATION, INTERPRETATION, ORIENTATION. BERGHAHN BOOKS.
60. SEIXAS, P., & MORTON, T. (2013). THE BIG SIX HISTORICAL THINKING CONCEPTS. NELSON EDUCATION.
61. SHODMONOV, S. T. (2023). TARIXIY TAFAKKUR KOMPETENSIYASI. TA'LIM VA INNOVATSIYA, 1, 17–23.
62. STOLYAROV, B. A. (2018). MUSEUM PEDAGOGY. AKADEMICHESKIY PROYEKT.
63. TO'XTAXO'JAYEV, M. (2019). INNOVATSION PEDAGOGIK TEXNOLOGIYALAR. UZLUKSIZ TA'LIM, 4, 41–46.
64. WINEBURG, S. (2001). HISTORICAL THINKING AND OTHER UNNATURAL ACTS. TEMPLE UNIVERSITY PRESS.

65. YO'LDOSHEV, B. (2020). MUZEY PEDAGOGIKASINING AHAMIYATI. XALQ TA'LIMI, 5, 66–70.

66. YURENOVA, T. Y. (2019). MUSEUM EDUCATION AND HISTORICAL EMPATHY. JOURNAL OF CULTURAL STUDIES, 6(2), 88–96.